Changes of Microbial Community and Reactor Performance in Sequencing Batch Reactors Under Diclofenac Selective Pressure

Cong Jiang[#], Qingmiao Yu[#], Shaoli Li, Haidong Hu, Hongqiang Ren, Ke Xu and Jinju Geng^{*}

State Key Laboratory of Pollution Control and Resource Reuse, School of the Environment, Nanjing University, 210023 Jiangsu, PR of China

Abstract: The wide use of diclofenac (DCF) ineluctably increases the release into wastewater that might cause potential negative effects on wastewater treatment system. To investigate whether DCF caused adverse impacts on the wastewater treatment efficiency and changes of microbial community structure, the exposure experiments at three levels (0, 5, and 50 μ g L⁻¹) were conducted in sequencing batch reactors (SBRs) for 120 days. Results indicated that 50 μ g L⁻¹ DCF decreased the chemical oxygen demand (COD) removal by about 10%, but had no obvious effect on the ammonia and total nitrogen removal (p > 0.05). 5 μ g L⁻¹ DCF could improve superoxide dismutase (SOD) and succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) activity, while 50 μ g L⁻¹ DCF would inhibit SOD and SDH activity. The extracellular polymeric substance (EPS) content increased with the increase of DCF concentration. Compared to the control, Gram-negative bacteria increased and Gram-positive bacteria decreased under 50 μ g L⁻¹ DCF pressure. Shannon-Wiener index is calculated by PLFA compositions and 16S rRNA gene pyrosequencing indicating that microbial diversity increased in 5 μ g L⁻¹ DCF. 16S rRNA gene pyrosequencing results showed that Chloroflexi, OD1, and Firmicutes kept the decreasing tendency with the increase of DCF concentration.

Keywords: Diclofenac, Sequencing batch reactors, Microbial community structure, Microbial iversity, Wastewater treatment efficiency.

1. INTRODUCTION

Diclofenac (DCF), one of the most commonly used non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), has a wide range of uses in both human and veterinary medicine. It is also classified as an emerging contaminant often detected in the environment (Praveena *et al.*, 2018; Sathishkumar *et al.*, 2020). Many previous studies reported that wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) were the occurrences hotspot of pharmaceuticals and the main resource to their receiving river (Kapelewska *et al.*, 2018; Sathishkumar *et al.*, 2020). In accordance with their observations, the presence of DCF in the WWTPs has a mean concentration ranging from 0.1 to 3.2 µg L⁻¹.

In the last few decades, the researches focused on the occurrence, removal, and fate of pharmaceuticals in the wastewater treatment process and the biodegradation of pharmaceuticals from wastewater (Aracagök *et al.*, 2018; Kapelewska *et al.*, 2018; Sathishkumar *et al.*, 2020; Fernandez-Fontaina *et al.* 2016). Sui *et al.* (2011) studied the occurrence of 12 pharmaceuticals in two WWTPs using three biological treatment processes in Beijing and results indicated

*Address correspondence to this author at the State Key Laboratory of Pollution Control and Resource Reuse, School of the Environment, Nanjing University, Nanjing, 210023, PR of China;

that different processes had significant effects on the removals of pharmaceuticals. The median removal of DCF was 61% by MBR, 37% by CAS and 21% by BNR. DCF was poorly removed (less than 20%) in a full-scale anaerobic/anoxic/aerobic process combined with membrane bioreactor for municipal wastewater (Xue *et al.* 2010). Due to the high frequency detection, low removal efficiency and high ecological risk, DCF the European Union (EU) included it in the watch list of substances that requires environmental monitoring in EU member states (Vieno and Sillanpaa 2014).

Most municipal WWTPs use biological treatment which is the microbial component of the activated sludge treatment process to remove nitrogen and organic pollutants. Low removal efficiency in WWTPs results in DCF still existing in the wastewater, which may bring more potential impact on organisms in the treatment processes. Thus, it is important to determine if the presence of pharmaceuticals in wastewater has a negative influence on activated sludge in microbial communities thereby impacting treatment performance. Many studies were conducted on the impacts of different conditions, such as hydraulic retention time, sludge retention time, nitrification, denitrification and heterotrophic conditions, on the pharmaceutical biotransformation, removal biodegradation and (Fernandez-Fontaina et al. 2016, Kruglova et al. 2014, Fernandez-Fontaina et al. 2012, Suarez et al. 2010). Kruglova et al. (2014) reported that the biodegradation

Tel: +86 25 89680360; Fax: +682589680569; E-mail: jjgeng@nju.edu.cn [#]contributed equally to this work

of DCF appeared a high fluctuation, which might be caused by the development of nitrifying activated sludge. It has been demonstrated that the increase of nitrifiers could enhance the biodegradation of DCF (Fernandez-Fontaina *et al.* 2012). Kraigher *et al.* (2008) indicated that diversity indices of bacterial community reduced in the reactor supplied with 50 μ g L⁻¹ pharmaceuticals, which studied the influence of pharmaceutical residues at the concentrations of 0, 5, 50, 200, and 500 μ g L⁻¹ on bacterial communities by Terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism (T-RFLP). However, there is little information on the relationship among reactor performance, extracellular polymeric substance (EPS) of activated sludge and microbial community.

In this study, the laboratory-scale sequence batch reactors (SBRs) were operated for 120 d to culture the activated sludge under DCF selective pressure. During the operation period, the contents of chemical oxygen demand (COD), ammonia (NH₄⁺-N), total nitrogen (TN) and EPS were measured regularly. The enzymes activity and microbial community in activated sludge were analyzed after achieving steady state as well. The objectives of this study were (1) to determine the changes of microbial activity and community based on key enzyme activities and high-throughput 16S rRNA gene sequencing; (2) to understand the effects of effluent quality with DCF presence; and (3) to learn more information about the influences of nitrification under the pressure of DCF. This study contributes new information concerning the DCF effect on microbial community composition and its relationship to the removal of other contaminants in the wastewater treatment process.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Reagents

Diclofenac sodium salt (98%; CAS 15307-79-6) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). HPLC-grade methanol, HPLC-grade acetonitrile and HPLC-grade acetic acid were supplied by Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Milli-Q water, with a resistivity of at least 18.2M Ω ·cm, was produced from a Millipore purification system (Billerica, CA, USA).

2.2. Bioreactor

Exposure experiments were conducted in SBRs that had a working volume of 4 L. The reactors were inoculated with activated sludge collected from the secondary sedimentation tank in a municipal WWTP in Jiangsu, China. The SBRs operated at 21 ± 1 °C with three cycles each day. Each cycle (8 h) consisted of a 60 min feeding period (the synthetic wastewater was pumped into the reactor), 330 min of aeration, 30 min of settling, 10 min of decanting and a 50 min idle period. The amount of effluent discharged from each SBR in each cycle was 2.4 L. The quantified DCF stock suspension was added to two reactors during the feeding period with the initial DCF concentration controlled at 5 μ g L⁻¹ (R₅) and 50 μ g L⁻¹ (R₅₀). A reactor operating without DCF served as a control (R_0). The complete mixture of synthetic wastewater is shown in Table 1. During the whole study, the initial pH was controlled at 7.0 - 8.0. The sludge retention time (SRT) was controlled at 20 days by adjusting the sludge discharge circle, a hydraulic retention time was about 13.3 h, and the mixed liquor suspended solid (MLSS) concentration was 3.5 ± 0.2 g L⁻¹. The initial concentrations of chemical oxygen demand (COD), NH_4^+ -N and PO_4^{3-} -P were 300, 20, and 3 mg L⁻¹,

Table 1: Composition of Synthetic Wastewater (Modified from (Kruglova et al., 2014))

Substance	Concentration (mg L ⁻¹)	Composition of Nutrient Solution	Concentration (mg L ⁻¹)
CH₃COONa	90	FeCl ₂ ·6H ₂ O	1500
NH₄CI	35	H ₃ BO ₃	150
KH ₂ PO ₄	30	CuSO ₄ ·5H ₂ O	30
CaCl ₂ ·2H ₂ O	60	кі	180
MgSO₄·7H₂O	200	MnCl ₂ ·4H ₂ O	120
NaHCO ₃	218	(NH₄) ₆ MoO ₂₄ ·4H ₂ O	40
Nutrient solution	0.3 mL	ZnSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O	120
Diclofenac	10	CoCl ₂ ·6H ₂ O	150
		EDTA	10000

respectively, which accorded to a municipal wastewater composition.

During the operational period, samples of influent and effluent were taken on a regular basis. Wastewater samples were filtered through syringe nylon membrane filters (0.45 μ m pore-size) to remove biomass. The concentration of COD, NH₄⁺, NO₃⁻, NO₂⁻, total nitrogen (TN) and MLSS were determined by standard methods (Federation and Association 2005). DO concentration, pH, and temperature values were measured by oxygen (SG6, METTLER TOLEDO Inc., USA) and pH meters (FE20, METTLER TOLEDO Inc., USA).

2.3. Determination of Key Oxidation Enzyme Activities and EPS in Activated Sludge

The activities of superoxide dismutase (SOD) and succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) extracted from activated sludge were detected. The SOD and SDH activity were evaluated by corresponding detection kits pursued from Nanjing Bioengineering Institute (China). All enzyme activities were normalized back to total protein concentration. The protein was measured by a Micro BCA Protein Assay Kit pursued from Nanjing Bioengineering Institute (China).

EPS are composed of a mixture of macromolecules, such as protein, polysaccharides, humic-like substance and nucleic acids (Ding *et al.* 2015). Generally, the main contents of EPS are represented by exopolysaccharide (PS) and their protein (PN) content (Zhu *et al.* 2015). In this study, EPS in activated sludge was extracted using the thermal treatment method (Wang *et al.* 2009). PS content (glucose equivalent) was determined using the phenol-sulfuric method with glucose as the standard (Dubois *et al.* 1956). PN content was measured by a modified Lowry method using bovine serum albumin as a standard (Frolund *et al.* 1996).

2.4. Phospholipid Fatty Acid (PLFA) Extraction and Statistical Analysis

The PLFA extraction method was described by (Niu *et al.* 2012). After extraction, the resulting fatty acid methyl esters were prepared according to MIDI protocol and detected by Agilent 7890 GC (USA). The results were analyzed using the MIDI Sherlock Microbial Identification System (MIDI, Newark, DE) (Xue *et al.* 2008).

The diversity of fatty acid was indicated by the Shannon-Wiener index (Niu *et al.*, 2012), which is generally defined as:

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{s} p_i \ln(p_i)$$
⁽¹⁾

where H is Shannon-Wiener index, s is the total number of PLFA in each sample and is the percentage of the peak area of PLFA to the total area of each sample. In this research, the GC assay peak areas were denoted to calculate values of p_i for each sample, which was inserted into equation (1).

2.5. Procedure of 16S rRNA Gene Amplicon Sequencing

Activated sludge collected from the bottom of three reactors (R₀, R₅ and R₅₀) made up the 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing sample. Processes were described as follows: DNA extraction, 16S rRNA gene PCR amplification and PCR products purification. To amplify and sequence the V1V2 hypervariable region of 16S rRNA gene, forward primer (50the AGAGTTTGATYMTGGCTCAG-30) and reverse primer (50-TGCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT-30) were selected and different 8-base barcodes and a Guanine were linked to the 50 end of each primer (Zhu et al., 2015). Then the purified products were sent for sequencing using Illumina high throughput sequencing platform (Miseq, Illumina Inc., USA).

The acquired sequencing data were processed by the Sickle and Mothur program to remove the low quality of sequence and reduce noises. Lastly, the filtered sequences were assigned a taxon by the RDP classifier.

2.6. Data Analysis

Averages and standard errors were determined for all value results. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to assess the homogeneity of variance with a significance level of 5% (p < 0.05). All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS statistics 22.0.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Reactor Performance

The initial concentration of COD and NH_4^+ -N for the reactor were maintained at 300 and 20 mg L⁻¹, respectively. Schnell *et al.* (2000) reported that the stable stage of reactor operation usually was attained after at least two SRT of adaption in the individual biological processes. In this study, the stable stage was achieved after the three SRT (60th d). COD, NH_4^+ -N and TN removal of the stable stage were presented in Table **2**. As shown in Table **2**, COD removals in R₀-R₅₀

Reactor Code	COD Removal (%)	NH₄ [*] -N Removal (%)	TN Removal (%)
R₀	88.27±3.17	99.39±0.35	70.14±7.27
R₅	81.45±3.29 [*]	99.31±0.30	70.30±5.74
R ₅₀	77.64±2.20 [*]	99.30±0.34	67.10±3.74

Table 2:	Removals of COD.	, NH₄ ⁺ -N and TN in Reactors at the Stable S	tage
----------	------------------	--	------

indicating a statistically significant difference compared to R_0 (p < 0.05).

at the stable stage were 88.27±3.17%, 81.45±3.29% and 77.64±2.20%, respectively. NH4⁺-N removals were efficient over 99% and TN removal were from 67.10% to 70.30% in the reactors. The removals of NH_4^+ -N and TN had no differences in all reactors. Results indicated that environmental concentration DCF affected the overall COD removal. Similarly, Grekova-Vasileva and Topalova (2014) also reported a decreasing COD removal (51%), which was registered during the shock loading of the activated sludge with 0.033 mg mL⁻¹ azocompounds. The high nitrification performance might be caused by the COD content. Under the pressure of DCF, NH₄⁺-N and TN removal had no significant differences (p > 0.05). The results might be caused by the low concentration of DCF. Amorim et al. (2014) found that nitrogen removal was not affected by the presence of ofloxacin, norfloxacin and ciprofloxacin at concentrations of 9 and 32 uM. The effluent water quality under the long-term presence of environmental concentration DCF needs to be further studied.

Table **3** shows the average DCF removal in the stable stage of each sample. As shown in Table **3**, the DCF removals were $57.64\pm2.92\%$ and $59.45\pm0.44\%$ in R₅ and R₅₀, respectively. The results of DCF removal are similar to the average level reported by other studies (Duan *et al.* 2013), which observed that DCF was moderately removed by 37-53% in a Shanghai WWTPs with the anaerobic/anoxic/oxic activated sludge process. Xue *et al.* (2010) reported that DCF removal rate generally depended on sludge conditions and positively related to the DO level and DCF was largely removed in anaerobic tank in a WWTP with the full-scale anaerobic/anoxic/aerobic process combined with membrane bioreactor. DCF was hard to adsorb to

sludge due to its ionization under neutral experimental conditions (Xue *et al.* 2010). Kruglova *et al.* (2014) also found that DCF was moderately biodegradable ($0.5 < k_{biol} < 1$) with influent concentration of $1.0 \pm 0.4 \ \mu g L^{-1}$. It seems reasonable to infer that DCF removal mostly rely on biodegradation in this study. The similar DCF removal in R₅ and R₅₀ might be caused by a similar microbial community structure.

3.2. Key Oxidation Enzyme Activities in the Activated Sludge

SOD is one of the important antioxidant enzymes and indicators of oxidative stress (Diniz et al. 2015). SDH plays an important role in the oxidation process (Sastre 2003). SOD and SDH were extracted from activated sludge at the 100th day to evaluate the oxidation stress of microorganisms. Figure 1 shows the changes of SOD and SDH activity. It is evident from Figure **1** that the activity of SOD (U mg protein⁻¹) increased from 32.16±0.14 (R₀) to 34.56±0.09 (R₅) but decreased to 21.6±0.24 (R₅₀). The activity of SDH (U mg protein⁻¹) had a similar change trend with SOD, that is, changing from 5.44 ± 0.06 (R₀) to 10.25 ± 0.12 (R₅) and 2.47±0.04 (R₅₀). The results suggested that the 5 µg L⁻¹ DCF could improve SOD and SDH activity, while the 50 μ g L⁻¹ DCF would inhibit SOD and SDH activity. This can be likened to hormesis (a beneficial effect from something normally considered toxic) (Bellavite et al. 2010). SOD is the major enzyme that clears the free oxygen radicals and, therefore, reduces the toxicity associated with these radicals (El-Megharbel et al. 2015). Zhang et al. (2015) illustrated that SOD activity is relatively sensitive to wastewater toxicity. SDH, as a key enzyme in the tricarboxylic acid cycle, is

Table 3: Average DCF Removal in the Stable Stage of each Sample (100 d)

Sample	Influence (µg L ⁻¹)	Effluent (µg L⁻¹)	Average Removal (%)
R₀			
R₅	4.78±0.72	2.01±0.16	57.64±2.92
R ₅₀	48.96±1.12	19.85±0.24	59.45±0.44

widespread in many prokaryotic cells, which provides electron for multiple prokaryotic cells in the respiratory chain. Bag *et al.* (1999) also found that the SDH activity was inhibited under heavy metals, pesticides, and other organic pollutant pressure, which explains the decreased aerobic respiratory process.



Figure 1: Key oxidation enzyme activities of three reactors in the activated sludge.

3.3. Change of EPS

Generally, the main contents of EPS were represented by exopolysaccharide (PS) and the protein (PN) content (Zhu et al., 2015). Figure 2 shows the changes of the sum of PN and PS in activated sludge at the stable stage (100th d). The increasing concentration of PN and PS in three reactors was observed. The concentration of PN and PS were 85.41, 110.54 and 110.44 mg g $^{-1}$ VSS for PN in $R_0,\,R_5$ and R_{50} , respectively; 23.81, 29.85 and 30.25 mg g⁻¹ VSS for PS in R₀, R₅ and R₅₀, respectively. These results indicate that increasing EPS content was a protective response of microorganisms to the addition of DCF and toxicity of 5 μ g L⁻¹ DCF may induce the augmentation of EPS content, while the toxicity of DCF causes the reduction. The conclusion was supported by Quan et al. (2015), which reported that low toxicity may induce the augmentation of EPS in sludge, but high toxicity may inhibit its production. It was often accepted that the production of EPS was in response to environmental stress (Delgado et al. 2010). Wang et al. (2016a) reported that bacteria could secrete more EPS content to decrease the heavy metal toxicity to bacteria and the increase of Cd(II) concentration caused the increase of EPS content. Delgado et al. (2010) also concluded that microorganisms produce bound EPS as a protective barrier for better survival in the presence of cydophosphamide drugs. The phenomena may be also

supported by the changes in oxidation enzyme activities (SOD and SDH), which increased in R_5 but inhibited in R_{50} .



Figure 2: Changes of EPS in samples during the stable stage $(100^{th} d)$.

3.4. Community Diversity by PLFA Analysis

PLFA profiles can be used to analyze the microbial community structure because a relative abundance of characteristic PLFA is different among specific groups of microorganisms (Li et al. 2010). The distribution of the carbon chain length of PLFA was mainly between C11 and C20. The dominant PLFA were 16:0, 18:0, 16:1 w7c and 18:1 w7c. Li et al. (2010) also reported that 16:0 fatty acids were determined in different filtered media of constructed wetland. In addition, the specific fatty acids, 14:0 anteiso, 16:0 iso 3OH, 15:1 w8c and 18:1 w5c, were only found under 50 μ g L⁻¹ DCF pressure. These specific fatty acids probably were generated under adverse environmental conditions. Imran et al. (2015) examined the microbial community composition of different azo dyes in soil by analyzing PLFA profiles, which also found some specific fatty acids generated under the azo dye pressure.

To know the microbial community diversity by using the PLFA index, the Shannon-Wiener diversity analysis was applied. The Shannon-Wiener diversity indexes are calculated by PLFA compositions described as 2.46 (R_5) > 2.32 (R_0)> 2.29 (R_{50}). The results might be caused by the lower toxicity of low concentration of DCF (5 µg L⁻¹) and the adaptability of microorganisms. Zhang *et al.* (2016) also reported that the diversity of bacterial communities in wetland mesocosms receiving 250 µg L⁻¹ ibuprofen-enriched wastewater was significantly decreased (p < 0.05). Some further investigations are needed to study the relationship of PLFA diversity and DCF concentration, to further define the DCF concentration threshold value.

Generally, PLFA markers represent three microbial groups: Gram-positive bacteria, Gram-negative bacteria and microeukaryotes. Figure 3 describes the changes of predominant microbial groups in three reactors. As shown in Figure 3, microeukaryotes content had little effect, and Gram-negative bacteria had a greater effect than Gram-positive bacteria, which may be caused by the higher unsaturated fatty acids content of the cell membrane for Gram-negative bacteria (Niu et al., 2012). There was little difference in Gram-positive bacteria and Gram-negative bacteria between R_0 and R_5 (p > 0.05). Compared to the control (R₀), Gram-negative bacteria increased from 29.03% to 35.7% and Gram-positive bacteria decreased from 11.46% to 5.68% under 50 μ g L⁻¹ DCF pressure. The results could be explained by the fact that Gramnegative bacteria can adapt to activity sludge disturbance with DCF by changing lipid composition, while Gram-positive bacteria is so stable and rigid that they are unable to adapt to the environmental stress. Moll et al. (1999) reported that the monounsaturated fatty acids (Gram-negative bacteria) were more fluid, so Gram-negative bacteria can increase to maintain membrane fluidity as the water temperature decreases. Paje et al. (2002) used the isolation techniques to illustrate that 100 μ g L⁻¹ of DCF can have a selective effect, inhibiting the growth of Gram-positive bacteria.



Figure 3: Changes of predominant microbial groups in reactors.

3.5. Changes of the Microbial Community at the Phylum Level

Figure **4a** shows the distribution of dominant bacteria at the phylum level in sludge samples at a

stable stage by 16s rRNA gene sequencing analysis. Proteobacteria was determined to be the most abundant phylum in all samples, accounting for 49-81% of the total effective bacterial sequences. This is similar to the analytical results of bacterial communities in activated sludge from 14 sewage treatment plants (Zhang et al. 2012), in which Proteobacteria was also the most dominant community. The other dominant phyla were Bacteroidetes and Chloroflexi. These three groups accounted for 63-92% in bacterial communities analyzed in this study. Zhang et al. (2016) reported that Proteobacteria could adapt best in response to changes of the external environment in wetland systems. It might be reasonable that Proteobacteria is the most dominant phylum in many situations. Kraigher et al. (2008) reported that the role of Chloroflexi in WWTPs was not well understood, but many studies illustrated that Chloroflexi consuming primarily carbohydrates was also one of the dominant phylum (Kraigher et al. 2008, Miura et al. 2007, Kragelund et al. 2007). In this study, the types of phyla are 90% similar in R₀, R₅ and R₅₀, while the abundances of each phylum are significantly different. In the control (R_0) , the percentages of Chloroflexi, OD1 and Firmicutes are 10.98%, 4.22% and 1.95%, respectively. With the addition of DCF, Chloroflexi abundances reduced to 4.99% (R₅) and 1.38% (R₅₀). OD1 abundances decreased to 0.72% (R₅) and 0.05% (R₅₀). Firmicutes abundances decreased to 1.36% (R₅) and 0.95% (R₅₀). The variation abundances of different phyla in each sludge samples indicated that the presence of DCF could impact the microbial community in the SBRs. The decrease of COD removal might be caused by the reduction of Chloroflexi, OD1 and Firmicutes. In most constants, degradation of some widely used pharmaceuticals mostly relies on the inherent ability of sludge communities (Kraigher et al. 2008). Therefore, the similar DCF removals in R_5 and R_{50} might be caused by the unchanged microbial types at the phylum level. But higher pharmaceutical concentration or longer exposure time with the presence of pharmaceuticals might lead to greater structural shifts, which needs further investigations.

Since proteobacteria were the most dominant bacteria in the phylum level in each sludge sample, a more detailed study about proteobacteria at the class level has been needed for a long time. Figure **4b** described the distribution of proteobacteria in each sludge sample at the class level. Within Proteobacteria, Figure **4b** shows that β -proteobacteria (25.9-47.7%) was the most dominant class in all samples, followed by y-proteobacteri, α -proteobacteria and δ -



Figure 4: Distribution of dominant bacteria in phylum level (a) and five kinds of proteobacteria in (b) in sludge samples.

proteobacteria. The abundance of *ε*-proteobacteria only occurred at very low levels and the maximum value was in R₅₀. Most of them increased under DCF selective pressure except for δ -proteobacteria. The results suggest that the microbial community structure was influenced by the addition of DCF and the detailed changes of microbial community related to the concentration of DCF (Kraigher et al. 2008, Lawrence et al. 2007). In addition, many previous researchers reported that β-proteobacteria was the dominant class (Zhu et al., 2015; Kraigher et al., 2008; Lawrence et al., 2007). Lawrence et al. (2007) concluded that DCF had potential as a selective agent, altering microbial community composition and also found that yproteobacteria significantly increased when DCF (10 and 100 μ g L⁻¹) was added into the reactor. During the biological treatment processes, some microorganisms could adjust to the changing environment, while others could not adapt and gradually reduced.

3.6. Changes of the Microbial Community at the Genus Level

The heat map was applied to identify the bacterial community structures at the genus level (Figure 5). As shown in Figure 5, some genus only detected by the addition of 50 µg L⁻¹ DCF, that is, Nakamurella, Caloramator, Caldilinea, Bdellovibrio, Micropruina, Arthrobacter and Microbacterium. Among them, Micropruina and Arthrobacter belong to Acidobacterium, which were probably stimulated by acid pharmaceuticals (such as DCF). Under DCF pressure, Thauera decreased from 3.1% to 0.97%, which has been reported as representing denitrifying bacteria in wastewater treatment processes (Tarlera and Denner, 2003), and Suarez et al. (2010) reported that removal of DCF was positively affected by the development of nitrification biomass. Nitrospira were 1.6% and 0.24% for R_5 and R_{50} , respectively, which suggested that *Nitrospira* was inhibited by 50 μ g L⁻¹ DCF pressure. Dokianakis et al. (2004) found that some pharmaceuticals (including DCF and clofibrate) inhibited the nitrite reduction rate performed by nitriteoxidizing bacterial culture isolated from activated sludge. In accordance with these studies, it seems reasonable to infer that DCF could inhibit the microorganisms of nitrification and denitrification. In addition, five genera were abundant (> 1%) in at least two samples, including two genus extensively reported in activated sludge, that is, Dechloromonas (3.7-35.5%, averaging at 13.8%) and Zoogloea (4-13%, averaging at 7.6%), plus three not well-described genera, that is, Haliscomenobacter (0.25-3.4%, averaging at 1.9%), Thauera (0.97-3.1%, averaging at 1.96%) and Propionivibrio (0.8-1.8%, averaging at 1.2%). Dechloromonas increased from 3.8% (R₀) to 35.5% (R_{50}) and is a genus capable of reducing perchlorate (Laurie et al., 2001). It is frequently reported to assist phosphate accumulating organisms in enhanced biological phosphorus removal reactors (Liu et al., 2005). Zoogloea decreased from 13.1% (R₀) to 4.2%(R₅₀), which could form characteristic cell aggregates embedded in extracellular gelatinous matrices and have the ability to block toxic compounds using their exocellular matrix. This indicates that the block toxic compounds of the activated sludge were inhibited by 50 μ g L⁻¹ DCF pressure.

3.7. Changes of Microbial Diversity

Shannon-Wiener index was used to evaluate the microbial diversity of 16S rRNA gene pyrosequencing. The indexes were 1.65 (R_0), 1.75 (R_5) and 1.60 (R_{50}), respectively. The diversity increased with the addition



Figure 5: Distribution of dominant bacteria with relative abundance of more than 0.2% according to genus levels in sludge samples.

of 5 μ g L⁻¹, while decreased to the presence of 50 μ g L⁻¹ ¹ DCF. Similarly, Wang et al. (2016b) found that the microbial diversity inhibited by the 50 μ g L⁻¹ pharmaceuticals in an aerobic granular sludge membrane bioreactor. The result indicated that pharmaceuticals could cause toxicitv to microorganisms, which was also supported by the result of EPS content. With the addition of 50 μ g L⁻¹ pharmaceuticals, reduced diversity of activated sludge microbial community was observed in small-scale wastewater treatment bioreactors compared to the control (Kraigher et al. 2008). The result further proved the microbial diversity result analyzed by PLFA profiles.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In the present work, three SBRs were exposed at environmental concentration DCF to investigate their interaction. After 120 d exposure, nutrient removal under DCF pressures has no differences except for COD. The decrease of COD removal may be caused by the reduction of Chloroflexi, OD1 and Firmicutes consuming primarily carbohydrates. The enzyme activity changes are consistent with the EPS content, increasing in R5 but decreasing in R50. 16S rRNA gene pyrosequencing results reveals that 5 or 50 μ g L-1 DCF levels could affect the detailed microbial community structure and composition. Compared to the control, Gram-negative bacteria increased and Grampositive bacteria decreased under 50 μ g L-1 DCF pressure. The microbial diversity is stimulated with the addition of 5 μ g L-1 DCF but inhibited at the presence of 50 μ g L-1 DCF by Shannon-Wiener indexes of PLFA data and 16S rRNA gene pyrosequencing values.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21677071, 51278241) and Jiangsu Natural Science Foundation (No. BK20161474).

REFERENCES

- [1] Amorim CL, Maia AS, Mesquita RB, Rangel AO, Van Loosdrecht MC, Tiritan ME, & Castro PM. Performance of aerobic granular sludge in a sequencing batch bioreactor exposed to ofloxacin, norfloxacin and ciprofloxacin. Water Res. 2014; 50: 101-113. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2013.10.043</u>
- [2] Aracagök YD, Göker H and Cihangir N. Biodegradation of diclofenac with fungal strains. Arch. Environ. Prot. 2018; 44(1): 55-62.
- [3] Bag S, Vora T, Ghatak R, Nilufer I, D'Mello D, Pereira L, Pereira J, Cutinho C & Rao V. A study of toxic effects of heavy metal contaminants from sludge-supplemented diets on male Wistar rats. Ecotox. environ. saf. 1999; 42(2): 163-170. <u>https://doi.org/10.1006/eesa.1998.1736</u>
- Bellavite P, Chirumbolo S & Marzotto M. Hormesis and its relationship with homeopathy. Hum. Exp. Toxicol 2010; 29(7): 573-579. https://doi.org/10.1177/0960327110369771
- [5] Delgado LF, Schetrite S, Gonzalez C & Albasi C. Effect of cytostatic drugs on microbial behaviour in membrane bioreactor system. Bioresour. Technol. 2010; 101(2): 527-536. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2009.08.051
- [6] Ding Z, Bourven I, Guibaud G, van Hullebusch ED, Panico A, Pirozzi F & Esposito G. Role of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) production in bioaggregation: application to wastewater treatment. Appl. Microbiol Biotechn. 2015; 99(23): 9883-9905. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-015-6964-8
- [7] Diniz MS, Salgado R, Pereira VJ, Carvalho G, Oehmen A, Reis MA & Noronha JP. Ecotoxicity of ketoprofen, diclofenac, atenolol and their photolysis byproducts in zebrafish (Danio rerio). Sci. Total Environ. 2015; 505: 282-289. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.09.103
- [8] Duan YP, Meng XZ, Wen ZH & Chen L. Acidic pharmaceuticals in domestic wastewater and receiving water from hyper-urbanization city of China (Shanghai): environmental release and ecological risk. Environ. Sci. and Pollut. Res. 2013; 20(1): 108-116. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-012-1000-3</u>
- [9] Dubois M, Gilles KA, Hamilton JK, Rebers P & Smith F. Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances. Anal. Chem. 1956; 28(3): 350-356. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/ac60111a017</u>
- [10] EI-Megharbel SM, Hamza RZ & Refat MS. Synthesis, spectroscopic and thermal studies of Mg (II), Ca (II), Sr (II) and Ba (II) diclofenac sodium complexes as antiinflammatory drug and their protective effects on renal functions impairment and oxidative stress. Spectrochim. Acta A 2015; 135: 915-928. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2014.07.101
- [11] APHA, 2005. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 21st ed. American Public Health Association, Washington, DC, USA.
- [12] Fernandez-Fontaina E, Gomes IB, Aga DS, Omil F, Lema JM & Carballa M. Biotransformation of pharmaceuticals under nitrification, nitratation and heterotrophic conditions. Sci. Total Environ. 2016; 541: 1439-1447. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.10.010</u>
- [13] Fernandez-Fontaina E, Omil F, Lema JM & Carballa M. Influence of nitrifying conditions on the biodegradation and sorption of emerging micropollutants. Water Res. 2012; 46(16): 5434-5444. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.07.037</u>
- [14] Frolund B, Palmgren R, Keiding K & Nielsen PH. Extraction of extracellular polymers from activated sludge using a cation exchange resin. Water Res. 1996; 30(8): 1749-1758. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(95)00323-1</u>

- [15] Grekova-Vasileva M & Topalova Y. Enzyme Activities and Shifts in Microbial Populations Associated with Activated Sludge Treatment of Textile Effluents. Biotechnol. Biotechn. Eq. 2014; 23(1): 1136-1142. https://doi.org/10.1080/13102818.2009.10817628
- [16] Imran M, Shaharoona B, Crowley DE, Khalid A, Hussain S & Arshad M. The stability of textile azo dyes in soil and their impact on microbial phospholipid fatty acid profiles. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 2015; 120: 163-168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2015.06.004
- [17] Kapelewska J, Kotowska U, Karpińska J, Kowalczuk D, Arciszewska A and Świrydo A. Occurrence, removal, mass loading and environmental risk assessment of emerging organic contaminants in leachates, groundwaters and wastewaters. Microchem. J. 2018; 137: 292-301. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2017.11.008
- [18] Kragelund C, Levantesi C, Borger A, Thelen K, Eikelboom D, Tandoi V, Kong Y, van der Waarde J, Krooneman J, Rossetti S, Thomsen TR & Nielsen PH. Identity, abundance and ecophysiology of filamentous Chloroflexi species present in activated sludge treatment plants. FEMS Microbiol Ecol. 2007; 59(3): 671-682. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2006.00251.x
- [19] Kraigher B, Kosjek T, Heath E, Kompare B & Mandic-Mulec I. Influence of pharmaceutical residues on the structure of activated sludge bacterial communities in wastewater treatment bioreactors. Water Res. 2008; 42(17): 4578-4588.
- [20] Kruglova A, Ahlgren P, Korhonen N, Rantanen P, Mikola A & Vahala R. Biodegradation of ibuprofen, diclofenac and carbamazepine in nitrifying activated sludge under 12 degrees C temperature conditions. Sci. Total Environ. 2014; 499: 394-401.
 - https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.08.069

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2008.08.006

- [21] Lawrence JR, Swerhone GD, Topp E, Korber DR, Neu TR & Wassenaar LI. Structural and functional responses of river biofilm communities to the nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory diclofenac. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 2007; 26(4): 573-582. <u>https://doi.org/10.1897/06-340R.1</u>
- [22] Li M, Zhou Q, Tao M, Wang Y, Jiang L & Wu Z. Comparative study of microbial community structure in different filter media of constructed wetland. J. Environ. Sci. 2010; 22(1): 127-133. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(09)60083-8</u>
- [23] Miura Y, Hiraiwa MN, Ito T, Itonaga T, Watanabe Y & Okabe S. Bacterial community structures in MBRs treating municipal wastewater: relationship between community stability and reactor performance. Water Res. 2007; 41(3): 627-637. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2006.11.005</u>
- [24] Moll DM, Summers RS, Fonseca AC & Matheis W. Impact of temperature on drinking water biofilter performance and microbial community structure. Environ. Sci. Technol. 1999; 33(14): 2377-2382. https://doi.org/10.1021/es9900757
- [25] Niu C, Geng J, Ren H, Ding L & Xu K. The cold adaptability of microorganisms with different carbon source in activated sludge treating synthetical wastewater. Bioresour. Technol. 2012; 123: 66-71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.06.110
- [26] Paje M, Kuhlicke U, Winkler M & Neu T. Inhibition of lotic biofilms by Diclofenac. Appl. Microbiol. Biot. 2002; 59: 488-492. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-002-1042-4</u>
- [27] Praveena SM, Shaifuddin SNM, Sukiman S, Nasir FAM, Hanafi Z, Kamarudin N, Ismail THT and Aris AZ. Pharmaceuticals residues in selected tropical surface water bodies from Selangor (Malaysia): Occurrence and potential risk assessments. Sci. Total Environ. 2018; 642: 230-240. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.06.058</u>
- [28] Quan X, Cen Y, Lu F, Gu L & Ma J. Response of aerobic granular sludge to the long-term presence to nanosilver in sequencing batch reactors: reactor performance, sludge

property, microbial activity and community. Sci. Total Environ. 2015; 506: 226-233. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.scitotenv.2014.11.015

- [29] Sathishkumar P, Meena RAA, Palanisami T, Ashokkumar V, Palvannan T and Gu FL. Occurrence, interactive effects and ecological risk of diclofenac in environmental compartments and biota-a review. Sci. Total Environ. 2020; 698: 134057. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134057</u>
- [30] Sastre J. The role of mitochondrial oxidative stress in aging. Free Radical Bio. Med. 2003; 35(1): 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0891-5849(03)00184-9
- [31] Schnell A, Steel P, Melcer H, Hodson P & Carey J. Enhanced biological treatment of bleached kraft mill effluents-I. Removal of chlorinated organic compounds and toxicity. Water Res. 2000; 34(2): 493-500. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(99)00160-8</u>
- [32] Suarez S, Lema JM, & Omil F. Removal of Pharmaceutical and Personal Care Products (PPCPs) under nitrifying and denitrifying conditions. Water Res. 2010; 44(10): 3214-3224. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2010.02.040</u>
- [33] Sui Q, Huang J, Deng SB, Chen WW & Yu G. Seasonal Variation in the Occurrence and Removal of Pharmaceuticals and Personal Care Products in Different Biological Wastewater Treatment Processes. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2011; 45(8): 3341-3348. https://doi.org/10.1021/es200248d
- [34] Tarlera S. Sterolibacterium denitrificans gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel cholesterol-oxidizing, denitrifying member of the β-Proteobacteria. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Micr. 2003; 53(4): 1085-1091. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.02039-0
- [35] Vieno N & Sillanpaa M. Fate of diclofenac in municipal wastewater treatment plant - A review. Environ. Int. 2014; 69: 28-39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2014.03.021
- [36] Wang XC, Shen JM, Chen ZL, Zhao X & Xu H. Removal of pharmaceuticals from synthetic wastewater in an aerobic granular sludge membrane bioreactor and determination of the bioreactor microbial diversity. Appl. Microbiol Biot. 2016b; 100(18): 8213-8223. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-016-7577-6</u>

Received on 15-09-2020

Accepted on 30-10-2020

Published on 26-11-2020

DOI: https://doi.org/10.12974/2311-8741.2020.08.11

© 2020 Jiang et al.; Licensee Savvy Science Publisher.

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/</u>) which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.

- [37] Wang Z, Gao M, Wei J, Ma K, Zhang J, Yang Y & Yu S. Extracellular polymeric substances, microbial activity and microbial community of biofilm and suspended sludge at different divalent cadmium concentrations. Bioresour. Technol. 2016a; 205: 213-221. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.01.067
- [38] Wang Z, Wu Z & Tang S. Extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) properties and their effects on membrane fouling in a submerged membrane bioreactor. Water Res. 2009; 43(9): 2504-2512. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.watres.2009.02.026
- [39] Xue D, Yao HY, Ge DY & Huang CY. Soil Microbial Community Structure in Diverse Land Use. Pedosphere 2008; 18(5): 653-663. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160(08)60060-0
- [40] Xue W, Wu C, Xiao K, Huang X, Zhou H, Tsuno H & Tanaka H. Elimination and fate of selected micro-organic pollutants in a full-scale anaerobic/anoxic/aerobic process combined with membrane bioreactor for municipal wastewater reclamation. Water Res. 2010; 44(20): 5999-6010. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2010.07.052
- [41] Zhang D, Luo J, Lee ZMP, Maspolim Y, Gersberg RM, Liu Y, Tan SK & Ng WJ. Characterization of bacterial communities in wetland mesocosms receiving pharmaceutical-enriched wastewater. Ecol. Eng. 2016; 90: 215-224. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2015.12.043</u>
- [42] Zhang T, Shao MF & Ye L. 454 pyrosequencing reveals bacterial diversity of activated sludge from 14 sewage treatment plants. ISME J. 2012; 6(6): 1137-1147. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2011.188</u>
- [43] Zhang Y, Sun Q, Zhou J, Masunaga S & Ma F. Reduction in toxicity of wastewater from three wastewater treatment plants to alga (Scenedesmus obliquus) in northeast China. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 2015; 119: 132-139. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2015.04.034</u>
- [44] Zhu Y, Zhang Y, Ren HQ, Geng JJ, Xu K, Huang H & Ding LL. Physicochemical characteristics and microbial community evolution of biofilms during the start-up period in a moving bed biofilm reactor. Bioresour. Technol. 2015; 180: 345-351. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.01.006