Significance of Trace Element Quantities in Benign and Malignant Giant Cell Tumors of Bone

Zaichick Vladimir^{1,*} and Zaichick Sofia²

¹Radionuclide Diagnostics Department, Medical Radiological Research Centre, Koroleyv St 4, Obninsk 249036, Russia

²Laboratory of Dr Gabriela Caraveo Piso, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, 303 East Chicago Avenue, Ward 10-144, Chicago, IL 60611-4296, USA

Abstract: To clarify the role of trace elements in the etiology and the pathogenesis of benign and malignant giant cell tumor (GCT) of bone, a nondestructive neutron activation analysis with high resolution spectrometry of long-lived radionuclides were performed. The silver (Ag), cobalt (Co), chromium (Cr), iron (Fe), mercury (Hg), rubidium (Rb), antimony (Sb), selenium (Se), and zinc (Zn) mass fraction were measured in three groups of samples: normal bone samples from 27 patients with intact bone (12 females and 15 males), who had died from various non bone related causes, mainly unexpectedly from trauma, and also in samples, obtained from open biopsies or after operation of 10 patients with benign GCT (4 females and 6 males) and 10 patients with malignant GCT (4 females and 6 males). The difference in the results between trace element contents in the three groups was evaluated by the parametric Student's ttest and non-parametric Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney U-test. In the bone affected by benign GCT the mean mass fractions of Aq, Co, Fe, Se, and Zn were significantly higher while the mean mass fraction of Rb was lower than in normal bone tissues. In malignant GCT tissue the mean mass fractions of Co, Fe, Sb, and Se were higher while the mean mass fraction of Rb was lower than in normal bone tissue. In malignant GCT tissue only the mean mass fractions of Fe and Rb were higher and the mean mass fractions of Ag and Zn were lower than in benign GCT tissue. Moreover, many correlations between trace elements found in the control group were no longer evident in the neoplastic bone. Thus, considerable changes in trace element content and their relationships were found in benign and malignant GCT and possible causes and effects of these alterations are discussed.

Keywords: Trace elements, human bone, benign and malignant giant cell tumor of bone, neutron activation analysis.

1. INTRODUCTION

Bone tumors are a heterogeneous group of tumors that all arise from bone tissue, which consists of cartilaginous, osteoid, osseous mineralized and fibrous tissue, and bone marrow elements. Each tissue can be subject to inflammation, and/or benign or malignant tumors. Bone neoplasms are often difficult to detect in their early stages because the associated signs and symptoms can be nonspecific, insidious in onset, and mimic more common disorders [1]. One of the most important differential diagnoses is between a benign and a malignant neoplasm with similar histology, for example, such as giant cell tumor (GCT) of the bone [2].

GCT is a relatively uncommon tumor. It is a heterogeneous tumor composed of three different cell populations and characterized by the presence of multinucleated giant cells (osteoclast-like cells). GCT is normally benign with unpredictable behavior including malignant transformation [3]. The World Health

*Address correspondence to this author at the Medical Radiological Research Centre, Korolyev St.4, Obninsk 249036, Kaluga Region, Russia; Tel: (48439) 60289; Fax: (495) 956 1440;

E-mail: vezai@obninsk.com: vzaichick@gmail.com

potentially malignant lesion" [4]. Identification of malignant GCT is a great challenge [2]. GCT of bone was first described in 1818 and historically the lesion has been referred to by numerous terms, including myeloid sarcoma, tumor of myeloplaxus, osteoclastoma, and osteoblastoclastoma [5-9]. GCT is a tumor, accounting for 4%-9.5% of all primary osseous neoplasm and 18%-23% of benign bone neoplasm [9, 10]. GCTs predominately arise in long tubular bones (75-95%) with most cases (50%-65%) occurring near the knee. The next most common site is the distal radius (~10%). The epicenter of giant cell tumors is in the epiphysis [11, 12]. GCT is typically seen in early adulthood, with 80% of cases reported between the ages of 20 and 50, with a peak incidence between 20 and 30 years [9]. GCT may have aggressive features, including cortical expansion or destruction with a softtissue component [13]. The prevalence of malignant GCT is controversial, although a figure of 5%-10% of all GCT appears to be the most frequent consensus [9].

Organization classifies GCT as "an aggressive,

All imaging methods such as conventional roentgenography, functional nuclear medicine including scintigraphy and positron emission tomography, computed tomography, and magnetic resonance imaging are very important for the assessment of tumor location, shape, size, and infiltration of the adjacent tissue. However, clinical imaging and histopathologic evaluation of biopsy samples is not useful or practical as a routine examination which can be easily used to diagnose and to predict the malignant transformation of GCT [3]. Thus, the goals of many investigations are to assist the clinician in making an appropriate diagnosis by providing a rational method of selecting nontraumatic diagnostic tests that maximize specificity and minimize costs.

It is well known that the tissues of human body differ greatly in their proportions of chemical elements and that there is the homeostasis of both bulk and trace element (TE) contents [14]. Our detailed previous studies have confirmed this using a chemical composition analysis of bone tissue [15-41]. Thus, it can be expected that normal bone and bone tumors, possessing very different properties, have specific and different TE compositions. Moreover, as was shown by us in previous studies *in vivo* neutron activation analysis allows determination of some chemical element contents in intact bone, benign and malignant lesions of bone and has a potential to become a valuable diagnostic tool [17,18,20,42].

To our knowledge, no data are available for the TE contents of GCT, to permit distinction between benign and malignant tumor.

This work had three aims. The first was to obtain reliable data for silver (Ag), cobalt (Co), chromium (Cr), iron(Fe), mercury (Hg), rubidium (Rb), antimony (Sb), selenium (Se), and zinc (Zn) contents in three groups of bone tissue samples – intact bone, benign GCT and malignant GCT using non-destructive instrumental neutron activation analysis with high resolution spectrometry of long-lived radionuclides (INAA-LLR). The second aim was to compare the TE contents in the different groups of samples and the third was to calculate inter-correlations between TE contents in each group of bone tissue samples.

All studies were approved by the Ethical Committee of the Medical Radiological Research Center, Obninsk.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Sample Preparation

Forty-seven children, adolescents and adults were included in this study. The subjects were divided into three groups: controls (1), benign GCT (2) and malignant GCT (3). The reference/control group consisted of 27 persons with intact bone (12 females

and 15 males, aged from 16 to 49 years, mean±standard deviation, M±SD, 34±11 years) who had died from various non bone related causes, mainly unexpected from trauma. The intact bone samples mainly of femur and tibia were collected at the Department of Pathology, Obninsk City Hospital. Samples from 10 patients with benign GCT (4 females and 6 males aged from 15 to 47 years, M±SD 24±13 years) and 10 patients with malignant GCT (4 females and 6 males, aged from 14 to 56 years, M±SD 27±15 years) were obtained from open biopsies or after operation from resected specimens. All patients with bone diseases were hospitalized at the Medical Radiological Research Centre. In all cases the diagnosis was confirmed by clinical and histological data.

A titanium tool was used to cut and to scrape samples [43,44]. All bone and tumor tissue samples were freeze dried, until constant mass was obtained, and homogenized. Then samples weighing about 50-100 mg were wrapped separately in high-purity aluminum foil washed with rectified alcohol beforehand and placed in a nitric acid-washed quartz ampoule.

2.2. Instrumentation and Method

To determine contents of the elements by comparison with a known standard, biological synthetic standards (BSS) prepared from phenol–formaldehyde resins and aliquots of commercial, chemically pure compounds were used. Corrected certified values of BSS element contents were reported by us earlier [45,46]. Ten certified reference material (CRM) IAEA H-5 (Animal Bone) sub-samples and ten standard reference material (SRM) NIST 1486 (Bone Meal) subsamples weighing about 100 mg were analyzed in the same conditions as bone and tumor samples to estimate the precision and accuracy of the results.

A vertical channel of the WWR-c research nuclear reactor was applied to determine the mass fraction of Ag, Co, Cr, Fe, Hg, Rb, Sb, Se, and Zn by INAA-LLR. The quartz ampoule with bone samples, tumor samples, standards, CRM, and SRM was soldered, positioned in a transport aluminum container and exposed to a 100-hour neutron irradiation in a vertical channel with a thermal neutron flux about 10^{13} n·cm⁻²·s⁻¹. Two months after irradiation the samples were reweighed and repacked. The duration of each measurement was from 1 to 10 hours. To reduce the high intensity of ³²P β -particles (T_{1/2}=14.3 d) background, a berillium filter was used. A coaxial 98cm³ Ge (Li) detector and a spectrometric unit (NUC

8100, Hungary), including a PC-coupled multichannel analyzer, were used for measurements. The spectrometric unit provided 2.9 keV resolution at the ⁶⁰Co 1332 keV line. Information concerning the nuclear

reactions, radionuclides and gamma-energies employed, together with other details of the analysis including the quality control of results were reported by us previously [34,36,37,46].

Table 1: INAA Data of Trace Elements of CRM IAEA H-5 Animal Bone and SRM NIST 1486 Bone Meal (mg/kg on dry mass basis)

Element	CRM IAEA H-5		This work results	SRM NIST 1486		This work results	
	Mean	Туре	Mean±SD	Mean	Туре	Mean±SD	
Ag	-	-	<0.002 DL	-	-	<0.002 DL	
Со	0.25	Ν	0.56±0.25	-	-	0.11±0.02	
Cr	2.56	N	<0.8 DL	-	-	≤0.9	
Fe	79±11	С	85±17	99±8	С	93±11	
Hg	0.008	N	≤0.01	-	-	≤0.01	
Rb	1.07	N	≤1.0	-	-	≤0.9	
Sb	0.024	N	≤0.02	-	-	≤0.02	
Se	0.054	N	≤0.05	0.13	Ν	≤0.05	
Zn	89±15	С	86±7	147±16	С	153±29	

M – arithmetic mean, SD – standard deviation, C – certified values, N – non-certified values.

Table 2: Basic Statistical Parameters for AI, Co, Cr, Fe, Hg, Rb, Sb, Se, and Zn Mass Fractions (mg/kg, dry mass basis) in Tissue of Intact Bone (N), benign (bGCT) and Malignant Giant Cell Tumor (mGCT)

Element	М	SD	SEM	Min	Мах	Med	P0.025	P0.975		
Intact bone (N), n=27										
Ag	0.00274	0.00152	0.00051	0.000256	0.00468	0.00282	0.000320	0.00458		
Со	0.0107	0.0070	0.0014	0.00370	0.0345	0.00785	0.00464	0.0288		
Cr	0.274	0.182	0.057	0.110	0.669	0.202	0.117	0.629		
Fe	51.2	46.3	9.3	9.20	173	30.2	9.68	155		
Hg	0.0057	0.0044	0.0014	0.00100	0.0138	0.00525	0.00100	0.0133		
Rb	3.68	1.58	0.48	0.970	6.57	3.30	1.40	6.41		
Sb	0.0151	0.0102	0.0032	0.00600	0.0420	0.0139	0.00600	0.0364		
Se	0.176	0.092	0.029	0.0550	0.358	0.169	0.0633	0.336		
Zn	80.6	15.4	3.0	45.4	115	82.1	51.7	109		
Benign giant cell tumor (bGCT), n=10										
Ag	0.00449	0.00126	0.00045	0.00180	0.00590	0.00450	0.00219	0.00585		
Со	0.0341	0.0190	0.0063	0.00300	0.0608	0.0308	0.00558	0.0599		
Cr	0.320	0.223	0.074	0.0560	0.761	0.224	0.0758	0.719		
Fe	353	128	43	155	606	353	172	577		
Hg	0.00554	0.00284	0.00095	0.000800	0.00910	0.00610	0.000940	0.00904		
Rb	1.17	2.14	0.71	0.17	6.55	0.17	0.17	5.71		
Sb	0.031	0.034	0.011	0.00300	0.0973	0.0179	0.00426	0.0942		
Se	1.51	0.96	0.32	0.373	3.21	1.51	0.410	3.02		
Zn	115.4	23.5	7.8	64.5	140	119	71.5	139		
			Malignant gi	ant cell tumor (mGCT), n=10					
Ag	0.00178	0.00189	0.00067	0.000200	0.00500	0.000785	0.000219	0.00484		
Co	0.0365	0.0184	0.0065	0.0200	0.0780	0.0328	0.0202	0.0714		
Cr	0.420	0.283	0.100	0.114	0.914	0.387	0.120	0.864		
Fe	640	410	145	118	1362	559	148	1291		
Hg	0.0077	0.0046	0.0017	0.00180	0.0167	0.00745	0.00225	0.0155		
Rb	2.17	1.52	0.54	0.170	4.06	2.06	0.170	4.04		
Sb	0.0328	0.0184	0.0065	0.0160	0.0620	0.0259	0.0164	0.0617		
Se	1.84	0.88	0.31	0.730	3.14	1.77	0.784	3.11		
Zn	65.6	38.0	13.4	20.6	127	59.6	22.8	124		

M – arithmetic mean, SD – standard deviation, SEM – standard error of mean, Min –minimum value, Max – maximum value, Med – median, P0.025 – percentile with 0.025 level, P0.975 – percentile with 0.975 level.

2.3. Computer Programs and Statistic

A dedicated computer program of INAA mode optimization was used [47]. Using the Microsoft Office Excel software, the following quantities of statistics, arithmetic mean, standard deviation, standard error of mean, minimum and maximum values, median, percentiles with 0.025 and 0.975 levels were calculated for the TE mass fractions. The differences in the results between intact bone, benign GCT and malignant GCT were evaluated using the parametric Student's *t*-test and non-parametric Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney *U*-test. For the estimation of the Pearson correlation coefficient between different pairs of the TE mass fractions in each group of bone and tumor tissue samples the Microsoft Office Excel software was also used.

3. RESULTS

Table 1 depicts our data for nine TE mass fractions determined by INAA-LLR in ten sub-samples of CRM IAEA H-5 Animal Bone and SRM NIST 1486 Bone Meal reference material and the certified values of this material.

Table **2** presents certain statistical parameters (arithmetic mean, standard deviation, standard error of mean, minimal and maximal values, median, percentiles with 0.025 and 0.975 levels) of the Ag, Co, Cr, Fe, Hg, Rb, Sb, Se, and Zn mass fractions in the samples of intact bone, benign GCT and malignant GCT.

Information concerning the effect of benign or malignant transformation on the TE mass fractions in bone is presented in Table 3.

Groups of samples	Element	Norm (N)	Benign GCT (bGCT)	<i>t-</i> test <i>p</i> ≤	U-test p	Ratio bGCT/N
	Ag	0.00274±.00051	0.00449±0.00045	0.010	≤0.01	1.64
	Со	0.0107±0.0014	0.0341±0.0063	0.006	≤0.01	3.19
	Cr	0.274±0.057	0.320±0.074	0.627	>0.05	1.17
bGCT	Fe	51.2±9.3	353±43	0.00008	≤0.01	6.89
and	Hg	0.0057±0.0014	0.00554±0.00095	0.914.	>0.05	0.97
Ν	Rb	3.68±0.48	1.17±0.71	0.011	≤0.01	0.32
	Sb	0.0151±0.0032	0.031±0.011	0.224	>0.05	2.05
	Se	0.176±0.029	1.51±0.32	0.003	≤0.01	8.58
	Zn	80.6±3.0	115.4±7.8	0.002	≤0.01	1.43
Groups of samples	Element	Norm (N)	Malignant GCT (mGCT)	<i>t</i> -test <i>p</i> ≤	U-test p	Ratio mGCT/N
	Ag	0.00274±.00051	0.00178±0.00067	0.254	>0.05	0.65
	Со	0.0107±0.0014	0.0365±0.0065	0.005	≤0.01	3.41
	Cr	0.274±0.057	0.420±0.100	0.229.	>0.05	1.53
mGCT	Fe	51.2±9.3	640±145	0.005	≤0.01	12.5
and	Hg	0.0057±0.0014	0.0077±0.0017	0.352	>0.05	1.35
Ν	Rb	3.68±0.48	2.17±0.54	0.052	≤0.01	0.59
	Sb	0.0151±0.0032	0.0328±0.0065	0.034	≤0.01	2.17
	Se	0.176±0.029	1.84±0.31	0.001	≤0.01	10.5
	Zn	80.6±3.0	65.6±13.4	0.309	>0.05	0.81
Groups of samples	Element	Benign GCT	Malignant GCT	<i>t</i> -test	U-test	Ratio
Groups of samples		(bGCT)	(mGCT)	p≤	р	mGCT/bGCT
	Ag	0.00449±0.00045	0.00178±0.00067	0.005	≤0.01	0.40
	Co	0.0341±0.0063	0.0365±0.0065	0.728	>0.05	1.07
	Cr	0.320±0.074	0.420±0.100	0.437	>0.05	1.31
mGCT	Fe	353±43	640±145	0.093	≤0.05	1.81
and	Hg	0.00554±0.00095	0.0077±0.0017	0.248	>0.05	1.39
bGCT	Rb	1.17±0.71	2.17±0.54	0.283	≤0.05	1.85
	Sb	0.031±0.011	0.0328±0.0065	0.863	>0.05	1.06
	Se	1.51±0.32	1.84±0.31	0.463	>0.05	1.22
	Zn	115.4±7.8	65.6±13.4	0.008	≤0.01	0.57

 Table 3: Differences between Mean Values (M±SEM) of AI, Co, Cr, Fe, Hg, Rb, Sb, Se, and Zn Mass Fractions (mg/kg, dry mass basis) in Tissue of Intact Bone (N), Benign (bGCT) and Malignant Giant Cell Tumor (mGCT)

M – arithmetic mean, SEM – standard error of mean, t-test - parametric Student's t-test, U-test - non-parametric Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test, Statistically significant values are in bold.

The data for inter-correlation calculations (values of r-coefficient of correlation) including all pairs of the TE identified by us in the samples of intact bone, benign GCT and malignant GCT are shown in Table **4**.

4. DISCUSSION

The non-destructive INAA-LLR was used in this research study because this method has many definite advantages over other analytical methods, particularly, in the clinical chemistry. For example, after non-destructive INAA-LLR there is a possibility to check the results for some TE and to receive additional information about other TE contents by destructive analytical methods such as atomic absorption

spectrometry, inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry, inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry and so on, using the same bone samples. Moreover, if a deep-cooled channel of nuclear reactor is available, the non-destructive INAA-LLR allows determining TE contents in the fresh bone/tumor samples and combining TE study with histological investigation. It is also necessary to keep in mind that the non-destructive methods are the current gold-standard solution to control destructive analytical techniques [14]. The destructive analytical methods are based on measurements of processed tissue. In such studies tissue samples are ashed and/or acid digested before analysis. There is evidence that certain quantities of TE are lost as a result of such treatment

 Table 4: Intercorrelations of Pairs of the Trace Element Mass Fractions in Tissue of Intact Bone, Benign and Malignant Giant Cell Tumor

Tissue	Element	Co	Cr	Fe	Hg	Rb	Sb	Se	Zn
Intact	Ag	-0.23	0.51	-0.80 ^b	-0.02	0.62ª	0.31	-0.45	0.38
bone	Со	1.00	0.16	0.55ª	0.79 ^b	-0.10	0.08	0.52	0.17
n=27	Cr	0.16	1.00	-0.48	0.51	0.56 ^a	-0.31	-0.08	0.46
	Fe	0.55 ^ª	-0.48	1.00	0.09	-0.54	-0.25	0.60 ^a	-0.17
	Hg	0.79 ^b	0.51	0.09	1.00	0.18	-0.13	0.35	-0.14
	Rb	-0.10	0.56 ^a	-0.54	0.18	1.00	-0.05	-0.06	0.34
	Sb	0.08	-0.31	-0.25	-0.13	-0.05	1.00	0.04	0.22
	Se	0.52	-0.08	0.60 ^a	0.35	-0.06	0.04	1.00	0.24
	Zn	0.17	0.46	-0.17	-0.14	0.34	0.22	0.24	1.00
bGCT	Ag	-0.35	-0.82 ^b	0.23	0.27	-0.80 ^b	-0.55ª	0.18	-0.23
n=10	Со	1.00	0.18	-0.30	0.12	-0.01	0.67 ^a	0.19	-0.47
	Cr	0.18	1.00	-0.60 ^ª	-0.47	0.85 ^b	0.01	0.02	0.14
	Fe	-0.30	-0.60 ^a	1.00	0.47	-0.53	0.10	0.26	0.39
	Hg	0.12	-0.47	0.47	1.00	-0.55	0.31	0.50	-0.11
	Rb	-0.01	0.85 ^b	-0.53	-0.55	1.00	-0.12	0.09	0.12
	Sb	0.67 ^a	0.01	0.10	0.31	-0.12	1.00	-0.08	-0.39
	Se	0.19	0.02	0.26	0.50	0.09	-0.08	1.00	0.35
	Zn	-0.47	0.14	0.39	-0.11	0.12	-0.39	0.35	1.00
mGCT	Ag	-0.13	-0.08	0.13	-0.18	-0.01	-0.08	-0.57 ^ª	-0.30
n=10	Со	1.00	0.30	0.75 ^ª	0.73 ^a	0.02	0.35	0.56ª	0.67 ^a
	Cr	0.30	1.00	0.31	-0.16	-0.07	0.24	0.52	-0.03
	Fe	0.75 ^a	0.31	1.00	0.52	-0.34	0.04	0.46	0.10
	Hg	0.73 ^a	-0.16	0.52	1.00	0.33	-0.23	0.54	0.57 ^a
	Rb	0.02	-0.07	-0.34	0.33	1.00	0.24	0.29	0.37
	Sb	0.35	0.24	0.04	-0.23	0.24	1.00	0.10	0.74 ^a
	Se	0.56 ^a	0.52	0.46	0.54	0.29	0.10	1.00	0.27
	Zn	0.67 ^a	-0.03	0.10	0.57 ^a	0.37	0.74 ^a	0.27	1.00

bGCT – benign giant cell tumor of bone, mGCT – malignant giant cell tumor of bone, statistically significant difference: a - p≤0.05, b - p≤0.01, c - p≤0.01.

[14,44,48]. There is no doubt that every method available for the measurement of TE contents in bone and tumor samples can be used. However, when using destructive analytical methods it is necessary to control for the losses of TE, for complete acid digestion of the sample, and for the contaminations by TE during sample decomposition, which needs adding some chemicals.

The results of mean values for Fe and Zn - two representative TE of CRM IAEA H-5 (Animal Bone) and SRM NIST1486 (Bone Meal) were in the range of 95% confidence interval (M±2SD) of the certificates' values (Table 1). Good agreement with the certified data of CRM and SRM for Fe and Zn mass fractions determined by INAA-LLR indicate an acceptable accuracy and for other TE mass fractions obtained in the study of intact bone and tumor tissue samples presented in Tables **2-4**.

The mean values and all selected statistical parameters were calculated for nine TE (Ag, Co, Cr, Fe, Hg, Rb, Sb, Se, and Zn) mass fractions (Table 2). The mass fraction of these TE mass fraction were measured in all, or a major portion of normal bone, benign GCT and malignant GCT samples.

From Table **3** it is observed that in the benign GCT tissue the mean mass fractions of Ag, Co, Fe, Se, and Zn are respectively 1.6, 3.2, 6.9, 8,6, and 1.4 times higher and the mean mass fraction of Rb is almost 3 times lower than in normal bone tissues. In malignant GCT tissue the mean mass fractions of Co, Fe, Sb, and Se are respectively 3.4, 12.5, 2.2, and 10.5 times higher and the mean mass fraction of Rb is 41% lower than in normal bone tissues. In malignant GCT tissue only the mean mass fractions of Fe and Rb are significantly higher (1.8 and 1.9 times, respectively) and the mean mass fractions of Ag and Zn some lower (60% and 43%, respectively) than in benign GCT tissue.

In the control group a statistically significant direct correlation was found between the Ag and Rb (r = 0.62, $p \le 0.05$), Co and Fe (r = 0.55, $p \le 0.01$), Co and Hg (r = 0.79, $p \le 0.01$), Cr and Rb (r = 0.56, $p \le 0.05$), and between Fe and Se (r = 0.60, $p \le 0.05$) mass fractions (Table 4). In the same group a pronounced inverse correlation was observed between the Fe and Ag (r = -0.80, $p \le 0.05$). If some positive correlations between the TE were predictable (e.g., Fe–Co), the interpretation of other observed relationships requires further study for a more complete understanding.

In the benign GCT tissue many significant correlations between TE found in the control group are no longer evident, for example, direct correlation between Fe and Co or between Fe and Se, etc. (Table 4). However, direct correlations between Co and Sb (r = 0.67, $p \le 0.05$) and Cr and Rb (r = 0.85, $p \le 0.01$), as well as inverse correlation between Ag and Cr (r = -0.82, $p \le 0.01$), Ag and Rb (r = -0.80, $p \le 0.01$), Ag and Sb (r = -0.55, $p \le 0.05$), and also Cr and Fe (r = -0.60, $p \le 0.05$) were observed (Table 4).

Similarly, in the malignant GCT tissue many significant correlations between TE found in the control group are also no longer evident, for example, direct correlation between Fe and Se, etc. (Table 4). However, direct correlations between Co and Fe (r = 0.75, $p \le 0.05$), Co and Hg (r = 0.73, $p \le 0.05$), Co and Se (r = 0.67, $p \le 0.05$), Co and Zn (r = 0.67, $p \le 0.05$), Zn and Hg (r = 0.57, $p \le 0.05$), and also Zn and Sb (r = 0.74, $p \le 0.05$), as well as inverse correlation between Ag and Se (r = -0.57, $p \le 0.05$) were observed (Table 4).

Thus, if we accept the levels and relationships of TE mass fraction in the intact bone samples of control group as a norm, we have to conclude that with a tumor transformation the levels and relationships of TE in bone significantly change. No published data referring to contents of TE or correlations between TE mass fractions in the benign and malignant GCT of bone were found.

Characteristically, elevated or reduced levels of TE observed in cancerous tissues are discussed in terms of their potential role in the initiation and promotion of cancer. In other words, using the low or high levels of the TE in cancerous tissues researchers try to determine the carcinogenic role of the deficiency or excess of each TE in investigated organ. In our opinion, abnormal levels of many TE in tumor could be and cause, and also effect of malignant transformation. From the results of such kind studies, it is not always possible to decide whether the measured decrease or increase in TE level in pathologically altered tissue is the reason for alterations or vice versa.

Bone is a mineralized connective tissue. It is formed by osteoblasts, that deposit collagen and release Ca, Mg, and phosphate ions that combine chemically within the collagenous matrix into a crystalline mineral, known as bone hydroxyapatite. On average, bone tissue contains about 10-25% water, 25% protein fibers like collagen, and 50% hydroxyapatite $Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(OH)_2$. Many TE are bone-seeking elements and they are closely associated with hydroxyapatite [36,37,40]. Benign and malignant GCT is classified as a bone tumor. Our previous findings showed that the means of the Ca and P mass fraction in the benign and malignant GCT of bone tissue are lower than in normal bone, but the mean of Ca/P ratio is similar [49]. It suggested that GCT continues to form bone hydroxyapatite but to a lesser degree than normal bone.

Silver: Ag is a TE with no recognized trace metal normal physiological function in the human body [50]. Ag in metalic form and inorganic Ag compounds ionize in the presence of water, body fluids or tissue exudates. The silver ion Ag⁺ is biologically active and readily interacts with proteins, amino acid residues, free anions and receptors on mammalian and eukaryotic cell membranes [51]. Besides such interactions, chronic exposure to Ag causes a permanent bluish-gray discoloration of the skin (argyria) or of the sclera (argyrosis). Exposure to soluble Ag compounds may produce other toxic effects, including liver and kidney damage, irritation of the eyes, skin, respiratory, and intestinal tract, and changes in blood cells [52]. More detailed knowledge of Ag toxicity can lead to a better understanding of its impact on human health, including bone conditions. Why there is Ag accumulation by benign GCT tissue is not completely understood and requires further studies. In any case an elevated level of Ag in benign GCT, in comparison with normal bone and malignant GCT, could possibly be explored to aid a differential diagnosis between benign and malignant GCT.

Cobalt: Health effects of high exposure to Co, whether resulting from occupational, environmental, dietary and medical contact are characterized by a complex clinical syndrome, including mainly neurological, cardiovascular and endocrine deficits [53,54]. Co is genotoxic and carcinogenic. This is mainly caused by oxidative DNA damage by reactive oxygen species (ROS), perhaps combined with inhibition of DNA repair [55]. Indeed, Co ions affect osteoblast proliferation, size, and shape. Co ions also promote secretion of cytokines from osteoblasts, which leads to inflammation and osteoclast differentiation, maturation, and stimulation [56]. Thus, a carcinogenic effect of elevated Co level in benign and malignant GCT tissue may be assumed. It was found in the present study, that there is a direct correlation between Fe and Co levels in normal bone and malignant GCT tissue (Table 4). Therefore an increased level of Co in both benign and malignant GCT is closely connected to

a very high Fe content in tumor tissue (Table **3**). Anyway, the accumulation of Co in benign and malignant GCT tissue could possibly be explored as a diagnostic marker for GCT.

Iron: Our findings show that the mean of the Fe mass fractions in the benign and malignant GCT tissue samples were respectively 6.9 and 12.5 times greater than in normal bone tissues (Table **3**). It is well known that the Fe mass fraction in a tissue sample depends mainly on the blood volume in that tissue. Thus, one can speculate that benign and malignant GCT are characterized by an increase of the mean values of the Fe mass fractions because its levels of tumor vascularization are higher than that of normal bone. Moreover, one can deduce that the level of malignant GCT vascularization is almost 2 times higher than that of benign GCT. Thus, this difference could possibly be explored to aid the diagnosis of GCT malignancy.

Rubidium: There is very little information about the effects of Rb in organisms. No negative environmental effects have been reported. Rb is only slightly toxic on an acute toxicological basis and would pose an acute health hazard only when ingested in large quantities [57]. Rb has some function in immune responce [58], probably by supporting cell differentiation [59]. The reason for a lower level of Rb in benign and malignant GCT tissue than that in normal bone is not completely understood and requires further studies.

Selenium: In the benign and malignant GCT tissue the mean Se mass fractions were respectively 8.6 and 10.5 times 11.0 times higher than in normal bone (Table 3). A high Se level was reported in malignant tumors of the ovary [60], lung [61], prostate [62-70], breast [71,72], gastro intestinal tract [73], and also in cancers of the stomach [73] and thyroid [75]. Moreover, in our previous study elevated levels of Se were found in such malignant tumors of bone as osteogenic sarcoma [76], chondrosarcoma [77], and Ewing's sarcoma [78]. The role played by Se in those tumors remains unknown, but in general it is accepted that certain proteins containing Se can mediate the protective effects against oxidative stress. A literaturebased analysis found the association of malignant tissue transformation with local oxidative stress. Studies have shown that oxidative stress conditions play an important role in both the initiation and the progression of cancer by regulating molecules such as DNA, enhancers, transcription factors, and cell cycle regulators [79]. However the cause of increased Se in cancerous tissue and particularly in benign and

malignant GCT of bone is not completely understood and requires further studies. However the great accumulation of Se in benign and malignant GCT tissue could possibly be explored to aid the diagnosis of GCT.

Antimony: Animal carcinogenicity data were concluded sufficient for Sb [80]. Possible mechanisms of Sb's action include its potential to produce active ROS and to interfere with the DNA repair system [80]. The cause of Sb accumulation by malignant GCT tissue is not completely understood and requires further studies. An elevated level of Sb in malignant GCT in comparison with normal bone and benign GCT could possibly be explored for aid in making the differential diagnosis between benign and malignant GCT.

Zinc: Zn is active in more than 300 proteins and over 100 DNA-binding proteins, including the tumor suppressor protein p53, a Zn-binding transcription factor acting as a key regulator of cell growth and survival after various forms of cellular stress. p53 is mutated in half of human tumors and its activity is tightly regulated by metals and redox mechanisms. Zn ions are cofactors of the superoxide dismutase enzymes, which prevent the onset and progression of tumors through cell protection against substances that cause the formation of free radicals and ROS. The role of zinc is to act as a membrane stabilizer and to participate in antioxidative protection and oxidative stress inhibition.

A low level of Zn was reported in malignant tumors of liver [81,82,83], kidney [81], uterus [84], lung [83,85], prostate [63-70,83,86-89], stomach [90], testis [90], thyroid [75,83,89,90] and in esophageal squamous cell cancer [91]. On the one hand, these facts imply that reduced Zn content in tumors is probably one of the factors in the etiology of malignant transformation of different tissues, because Zn deficiency has been linked to severe deficiency in immune function and disruption in T-Cell function. Zn deficiency also causes inactivation of p53, a tumor suppressor protein, which has been associated with many cancers [90]. On the other hand, it is possible to interpret the low levels of Zn in malignant GCT tissue as follows. Zn is a boneseeking TE and its content in bone tissue is closely associated with that of hydroxyapatite [40]. Our previous findings showed that hydroxyapatite content of malignant GCT tissue is significantly lower than in normal bone [49]. Thus, bone tissue may lose Zn during malignant transformation. A reduced level of Zn

in malignant GCT in comparison with benign GCT could possibly be explored as a means of differential diagnosis between these benign and malignant tumors.

Trace element inter-correlations: Each of the TE is distinct in its primary mode of action. Moreover, there are several forms of synergistic action of the TE as a part of intracellular metabolism, during which several reactive intermediates and byproducts are created [92-94]. These reactive species are capable of potent and surprisingly selective activation of stress-signaling pathways, inhibition of DNA metabolism, repair, and formation of DNA crosslinks, which are known to contribute to the development of human cancers [93,95]. Thus, in addition to TE contents changes of TE relationships (inter-correlations) might be involved in etiology and pathophysiology of bone tumors.

Limitations: This study has several limitations. Firstly, analytical techniques employed in this study measure only nine TE (Ag, Co, Cr, Fe, Hg, Rb, Sb, Se, and Zn) mass fractions. There are many other TE associated with different levels of oxidative stress and carcinogenesis. Thus, future studies should be directed toward using other analytical methods which will extend the list of TE investigated in normal bone as well as in the benign and malignant GCT of bone. Secondly, the sample size of benign GCT and malignant GCT groups was relatively small. Despite these limitations, this study provides evidence that the levels of Ag, Co, Fe, Rb, Sb, Se, and Zn mass fractions have altered in GCT tumors and shows the necessity to continue TE research of benign and malignant bone GCT.

CONCLUSION

INAA-LLR is a most satisfactory analytical tool to determine non-destructively the elemental content of Ag, Co, Cr, Fe, Hg, Rb, Sb, Se, and Zn in samples of human intact bone and also in samples of benign and malignant GCT. In the bone affected by benign GCT the mean mass fractions of Ag, Co, Fe, Se, and Zn were significantly higher while the mean mass fraction of Rb was lower than in normal bone tissues. In malignant GCT tissue the mean mass fractions of Co, Fe, Sb, and Se were higher while the mean mass fraction of Rb was lower than in normal bone tissues. In malignant GCT tissue only the mean mass fractions of Fe and Rb were higher and the mean mass fractions of Ag and Zn were lower than in benign GCT tissue. In addition, in the tumor transformed bone many intercorrelations between TE contents found in the control group were no longer evident in the GCT groups. Thus, if we accept the levels and relationships of TE mass

fraction in the intact bone as a norm, we have to conclude that in benign and malignant GCT tissues the TE homeostasis was significantly disturbed. The studies on the role of TE in the etiology and pathogenesis of benign and malignant GCT should be continued, because of the limitations of numbers of different TEs studied in this work and to determine relevant mechanisms which may explain the findings. This paper has only considered two specific bone neoplasms. However the value of this approach to the determination of the malignant or benign nature of a tumor using TE analysis has been confirmed. It is likely to have many other useful applications and deserves to be included in the diagnostician's armamentarium after appropriate experimental confirmation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to the late Prof. V.A. Bizer, Medical Radiological Research Center, Obninsk, for supplying GCT tissue samples.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

Vladimir Zaichick and Sofia Zaichick contributed equally to this work.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

ABBREVIATIONS

- INAA= Instrumental neutron activation analysis,
- INAA-LLR= INAA with high resolution spectrometry of long-lived radionuclidesm,
- BSS= Biological synthetic standards,
- CRM= Certified reference material,
- SRM= Standard reference material,
- ROS = Reactive oxygen species.

REFERENCES

- Linabery AM and Ross JA. Trends in childhood cancer incidence in the U.S. (1992–2004). Cancer 2008; 112: 416-32. https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.23169
- [2] Oglobe GI, Ogunseyinde OA, Okolo CA, Ogunlade SO and Osuagwu YU. Malignant giant cell tumor of bone with axillary metastasis. Annals of Ibadan Postgraduate Medicine 2006; 4(1): 47-51.

- Sharma A, Shanker V, Kundu ZS and Verma M. Calcium homeostasis and acid phosphatase levels in giant cell tumour. Journal of Investigational Biochemistry 2013; 2(2): 109-14. https://doi.org/10.5455/jib.20130515093050
- [4] Szendroi M. Giant-cell tumour of bone. The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery (Great Britain) 2004; 86: 5-12. https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.86B1.14053
- [5] Stewart MJ. The histogenesis of myeloid sarcoma. Lancet 1922; 2: 1106-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(01)01142-4
- [6] Danilov AI. Juxta-epiphyseal osteoblastoclastomas in children. Ortopedia Travmatologia Protezirovanie 1973; 34: 27-30.
- [7] Knysh IT. Diagnostic and treatment problems with osteoblastoclastoma in adults. Vestnik Khirurgii 1979; 123(10): 53-7.
- [8] Huvos AG (ed.). Bone tumors: diagnosis, treatment, and prognosis. Saunders, Philadelphia, PA 1991.
- [9] Murphey MD, Nomikos GC, Flemming DJ, Gannon FH, Temple HT and Kransdorf MJ. From the archives of AFIP. Imaging of giant cell tumor and giant cell reparative granuloma of bone: radiologic-pathologic correlation. Radiographics 2001; 21(5): 1283-309. https://doi.org/10.1148/radiographics.21.5.g01se251283
- [10] Wang H, Wan N and Hu Y. Giant cell tumour of bone: a new evaluating system is necessary. International Orthopaedics 2012; 36(12): 2521-7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00264-012-1664-9</u>
- [11] Breitenseher M, Dominkus M, Scharitzer M, Lechner M, Trieb K, Imhof H, et al. Diagnostic imaging of giant cell tumors. Radiologe 2001; 41(7): 568-76. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s001170170147</u>
- [12] Xu SF, Adams B, Yu XC and Xu M. Denosumab and giant cell tumour of bone-a review and future management considerations. Current Oncology 2013; 20(5): e442-7. <u>https://doi.org/10.3747/co.20.1497</u>
- [13] Chakarun CJ, Forrester DM, Gottsegen CJ, Patel DB, White EA and Matcuk GR JrGiant cell tumor of bone: review, mimics, and new developments in treatment. Radiographics 2013; 33(1): 197-211. https://doi.org/10.1148/rg.331125089
- [14] Zaichick V. Medical elementology as a new scientific discipline. Journal of Radio analytical and Nuclear Chemistry 2006; 269: 303-9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10967-006-0383-3
- [15] Zherbin EA and Zaichick V. Several aspects of applied neutron activation in medicine: The present state and development of activation analysis in the Institute of Medical Radiology. Proceedings of the 2nd Meeting on New Nuclear-Physical Methods Used in Solving Scientific-Technical and National Economic Problems. Join Institute of Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia 1976: 104-26.
- [16] Kalashnikov VM and Zaichick V. Bone analysis of N, F and P by photonuclear activation. Voprosy Meditsinskoĭ Khimii 1977; 23(1): 122-7.
- [17] Zaichick V. The in vivo neutron activation analysis of calcium in the skeleton of normal subjects, with hypokinesia and bone diseases. Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry 1993; 169: 307-16. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02042988</u>
- [18] Zaichick V. Instrumental activation and X-ray fluorescent analysis of human bones in health and disease. Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry 1994; 179: 295-303. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02040164</u>
- [19] Zaichick V and Ovchjarenko NN. In vivo X-ray fluorescent analysis of Ca, Zn, Sr, and Pb in frontal tooth enamel. Journal of Trace and Microprobe Techniques 1996; 14(1): 143-52.

- Zaichick V and Morukov B. In vivo bone mineral studies on [20] volunteers during a 370-day antiorthostatic hypokinesia test. Journal of Applied Radiation and Isotopes 1998; 49(5/6): 691-4. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-8043(97)00205-4
- Zaichick V. In vivo and in vitro application of energy-[21] dispersive XRF in clinical investigations: experience and the future. Journal of Trace Elements in Experimental Medicine 1998; 11(4): 509-10.
- [22] Zaichick V, Ovchjarenko N and Zaichick S. In vivo energy dispersive X-ray fluorescence for measuring the content of essential and toxic trace elements in teeth. Journal of Applied Radiation and Isotopes 1999; 50(2): 283-93. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-8043(97)10150-6
- Zaichick V and Snetkov A. Bone composition in children with [23] rickets-like diseases before and during treatment. In: Anke M et al, editors. Mengen- und Spurenelemente. 20 Arbeitstagung. Friedrich-Schiller-Universität, Jena 2000: 1109-17
- Zaichick V, Dyatlov A and Zaihick S. INAA application in the [24] age dynamics assessment of major, minor, and trace elements in the human rib. Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry 2000; 244: 189-93. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1006797006026
- Sastri CS, Iyengar V, Blondiaux G, Tessier Y, Petri H, [25] Hoffmann P, et al. Fluorine determination in human and animal bones by particle-induced gamma-ray emission. Fresenius' Journal of Analytical Chemistry 2001; 370: 924-9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s002160100900
- [26] Zaichick V and Tzaphlidou M. Determination of calcium, phosphorus, and the calcium/phosphorus ratio in cortical bone from the human femoral neck by neutron activation analysis. Journal of Applied Radiation and Isotopes 2002; 56: 781-6

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-8043(02)00066-0

- [27] Tzaphlidou M and Zaichick V. Neutron activation analysis of calcium/phosphorus ratio in rib bone of healthy humans. Journal of Applied Radiation and Isotopes 2002; 57: 779-83. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-8043(02)00171-9
- [28] Tzaphlidou M and Zaichick V. Calcium, Phosphorus, Calcium-Phosphorus ratio in rib bone of healthy humans. Biological Trace Element Research 2003; 93: 63-74. https://doi.org/10.1385/BTER:93:1-3:63
- Zaichick V and Tzaphlidou M. Calcium and phosphorus [29] concentrations and calcium/phosphorus ratio in trabecular bone from femoral neck of healthy humans as determined by neutron activation analysis. Journal of Applied Radiation and Isotopes 2003: 58: 623-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-8043(03)00092-7
- [30] Zaichick V. INAA application in the age dynamics assessment of Ca, Cl, K, Mg, Mn, Na, P, and Sr contents in the cortical bone of human femoral neck. Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry 2004; 259: 351-4. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JRNC.0000017317.64992.04
- [31] Zaichick V. Sex and age related Ca/P ratio in trabecular bone of iliac crest of healthy humans. In: Anke M et al, editors. Macro and Trace Elements. 22 Workshop, Vol. 1. Friedrich-Schiller- Universität, Jena 2004: 248-55.
- [32] Tzaphlidou M and Zaichick V. Sex and age related Ca/P ratio in cortical bone of iliac crest of healthy humans. Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry 2004; 259: 347-9. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JRNC.0000017316.20693.45
- [33] Zaichick V. INAA application in the assessment of selected elements in cancellous bone of human iliac crest. Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry 2007; 271: 573-6. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10967-007-0308-9
- Zaichick V and Zaichick S. Instrumental neutron activation [34] analysis of trace element contents in the rib bone of healthy men. Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry

2009: 281: 47-52. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10967-009-0084-9

[35] Zaichick V. Neutron activation analysis of Ca, Cl, K, Mg, Mn, Na, P, and Sr contents in the crowns of human permanent teeth. Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry 2009: 281: 41-5. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10967-009-0083-x

- Zaichick S and Zaichick V. The effect of age and gender on [36] 38 chemical element contents in human iliac crest investigated by instrumental neutron activation analysis. Journal of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology 2010; 24: 1-6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtemb.2009.07.002
- [37] Zaichick S and Zaichick V. The effect of age and gender on 38 chemical element contents in human femoral neck investigated by instrumental neutron activation analysis. Biological Trace Element Research 2010; 137: 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-009-8554-z
- [38] Zaichick S and Zaichick V. Neutron activation analysis of Ca, Cl, Mg, Na, and P content in human bone affected by osteomyelitis or osteogenic sarcoma. Journal of Radio analytical and Nuclear Chemistry 2012; 293: 241-6. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10967-012-1645-x
- [39] Zaichick V. Chemical elements of human bone tissue investigated by nuclear analytical and related methods. Biological Trace Element Research 2013; 153: 84-99. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-013-9661-4
- Zaichick V. Data for the Reference Man: skeleton content of [40] chemical elements. Radiation Environmental Biophysics 2013; 52: 65-85. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00411-012-0448-3
- Zaichick V and Zaichick S. The Ca, CI, Mg, Na, and P mass [41] fractions in human bone affected by Ewing's sarcoma. Biological Trace Element Research 2014; 159: 32-8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-014-9966-y
- Zaichick V, Kalashnikov VM and Bizer VA. The in vivo [42] analysis of Ca, Na and Cl in human limb tumours by neutron activation. In: Application of Nuclear Analytical Methods in Biology and Medicine. Institute of Medical Radiology, Obninsk, Russia 1980: 58-74.
- Zaichick V and Zaichick S. Instrumental effect on the [43] contamination of biomedical samples in the course of sampling. The Journal of Analytical Chemistry 1996; 51(12): 1200-5.
- Zaichick V. Sampling, sample storage and preparation of [44] biomaterials for INAA in clinical medicine, occupational and environmental health. In: Harmonization of Health-Related Environmental Measurements Using Nuclear and Isotopic Techniques. International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna 1997: 123-33.
- [45] Zaichick V. Application of synthetic reference materials in the Medical Radiological Research Centre. Fresenius' Journal of Analytical Chemistry 1995; 352: 219-23. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00322330
- Zaichick V, Kolotov AV and Dogadkin N. Comparative [46] analysis of major and trace elements in bone reference materials, IAEA H-5 (animal bone) and NIST SRM 1486 (bone meal). In: Anke M et al, editors. Macro and Trace Elements. 21 Workshop. Friedrich-Schiller- Universität, Jena 2002: 39-47.
- [47] Korelo A. and Zaichick V. Software to optimize the multielement INAA of medical and environmental samples. In: Activation analysis in environment protection. Join Institute of Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia 1993: 326-32.
- [48] Zaichick V. Losses of chemical elements in biological samples under the dry ashing process. Trace Elements in Medicine 2004; 5(3): 17-22.
- [49] Zaichick V, Zaichick S, Davydov G and Epatova T. The Ca, Cl, Mg, Na, and P mass fractions in benign and malignant giant cell tumors of bone investigated by neutron activation

analysis. Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry 2015; 304: 1313-20. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10967-015-3942-7

- [50] Lansdown AB. Critical observations on the neurotoxicity of silver. Critical Reviews in Toxicology 2007; 37: 237-50. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/10408440601177665</u>
- [51] Lansdown AB. Silver in health care: antimicrobial effects and safety in use. Current Problems in Dermatology 2006; 33: 17-34. <u>https://doi.org/10.1159/000093928</u>
- [52] Drake PL and Hazelwood KJ. Exposure-related health effects of silver and silver compounds: a review. The Annals of Occupational Hygiene 2005; 49: 575-85.
- [53] Leyssens L, Vinck B, Van Der Straeten C, Wuyts F and Maes L. Cobalt toxicity in humans—A review of the potential sources and systemic health effects. Toxicology 2017; 387: 43-56.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tox.2017.05.015

- [54] Yu R. Cobalt Toxicity, An overlooked Cause of Hypothyroidism. Journal of Endocrinology and Thyroid Research 2017; 1(3): 1-4. https://doi.org/10.19080/JETR.2017.01.555563
- [55] Simonsen LO, Harbak H and Bennekou P. Cobalt metabolism and toxicology--a brief update. Science of the Total Environment 2012; 432: 210-5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.06.009
- [56] Dermience M, Lognay G, Mathieu F and Goyens P. Effects of thirty elements on bone metabolism. Journal of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology 2015; 32: 86-106. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/i.itemb.2015.06.005</u>
- [57] Johnson GT, Lewis TR and Wagner WD. Acute toxicity of cesium and rubidium compounds. Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology 1975; 32: 239-45. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0041-008X(75)90216-1</u>
- [58] Jones JM, Yeralan O, Hines G, Maher M, Roberts DW and Benson RW. Effects of lithium and rubidium on immune responses of rats. Toxicology Letters 1990; 52: 163-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4274(90)90150-K
- [59] Petrini M, Vaglini F, Carulli G, Azzara A, Ambrogi F and Grassi B. Rubidium is a possible supporting element for bone marrow leukocyte differentiation. Haematologica 1990; 75: 27-31.
- [60] Xue FX and Zhang SW. Selenium concentrations in serum, hair and tumor tissue from patients with ovarian tumors. Zhonghua Fu Chan Ke Za Zhi 1991; 26(5): 290-2.
- [61] Zachara BA, Marchaluk-Wiśniewska E, Maciag A, Pepliński J, Skokowski J and Lambrecht W. Decreased selenium concentration and glutathione peroxidase activity in blood and increase of these parameters in malignant tissue of lung cancer patients. Lung 1997; 175: 321-32. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/PL00007578</u>
- [62] Zachara BA, Szewczyk-Golec K, Tyloch J, Wolski Z, Szylberg T, Stepien S, et al. Blood and tissue selenium concentrations and glutathione peroxidase activities in patients with prostate cancer and benign prostate hyperplasia. Neoplasma 2005; 52: 248-54.
- [63] Zaichick V and Zaichick S. Trace element contents in adenocarcinoma of the human prostate gland investigated by neutron activation analysis. Cancer Research and Oncology 2016; 1(1): 1-10.
- [64] Zaichick V and Zaichick S. Prostatic tissue levels of 43 trace elements in patients with prostate adenocarcinoma. Cancer and Clinical Oncology 2016; 5(1): 79-94. https://doi.org/10.5539/cco.v5n1p79
- [65] Zaichick V and Zaichick S. The Comparison between the contents and interrelationships of 17 chemical elements in normal and cancerous prostate gland. Journal of Prostate Cancer 2016; 1(1): 105.

- [66] Zaichick V and Zaichick S. Distinguish between benign and malignant prostate using the trace element content ratios in prostatic tissue as tumor markers. Mathews Journal of Cancer Science 2016; 1(1): 006
- [67] Zaichick V and Zaichick S. Distinguishing malignant from benign prostate using content of 17 chemical elements in prostatic tissue. Integrative Cancer Science and Therapeutics 2016; 3(5): 579-87. https://doi.org/10.15761/ICST.1000208
- [68] Zaichick V and Zaichick S. Trace element levels in prostate gland as carcinoma's markers. Journal of Cancer Therapy 2017; 8: 131-45. https://doi.org/10.4236/jct.2017.82011
- [69] Zaichick V. Differences between 66 chemical element contents in normal and cancerous prostate. Journal of Analytical Oncology 2017; 6(1): 37-56. <u>https://doi.org/10.6000/1927-7229.2017.06.02.1</u>
- [70] Zaichick V and Zaichick S. Ratios of selenium/trace element contents in prostate gland as carcinoma's markers. Journal of Tumor Medicine and Prevention 2017; 1(2): 555556. <u>https://doi.org/10.19080/JTMP.2017.01.555556</u>
- [71] Raju GJN, Sarita P, Kumar MR, Murty G, Reddy BS, Lakshminarayana S, et al. Trace elemental correlation study in malignant and normal breast tissue by PIXE technique. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B 2006; 247: 361-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2006.02.007
- [72] Charalabopoulos K, Kotsalos A, Batistatou A, Charalabopoulos A, Vezyraki P, Peschos D, et al. Selenium in serum and neoplastic tissue in breast cancer: correlation with CEA. The British Journal of Cancer 2006; 95: 674-6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjc.6603292</u>
- [73] Kucharzewski M, Braziewicz J, Majewska U and Gózdz S. Selenium, copper, and zinc concentrations in intestinal cancer tissue and in colon and rectum polyps. Biological Trace Element Research 2003; 92: 1-10. <u>https://doi.org/10.1385/BTER:92:1:1</u>
- [74] Charalabopoulos K, Kotsalos A, Batistatou A, Charalabopoulos A, Peschos D. Vezyraki P, et al. Serum and tissue selenium levels in gastric cancer patients and correlation with CEA. Anticancer Research 2009; 29: 3465-7.
- [75] Zaichick V and Zaichick S. Trace Element Contents in Thyroid Cancer Investigated by Instrumental Neutron Activation Analysis. Journal of Oncology Research 2018; 2(1): 1-13.
- [76] Zaichick, S. and Zaichick, V. The content of silver, cobalt, chromium, iron, mercury, rubidium, antimony, selenium, and zinc in osteogenic sarcoma. Journal of Cancer Therapy 2015; 6(6): 493-503. https://doi.org/10.4236/ict.2015.66053
- [77] Zaichick V and Zaichick S. The silver, cobalt, chromium, iron, mercury, rubidium, antimony, selenium, and zinc contents in human bone affected by chondrosarcoma. Journal of Hematology and Oncology Research 2015; 1(4): 25-36. https://doi.org/10.14302/issn.2372-6601.jhor-15-666
- [78] Zaichick V and Zaichick S. The silver, cobalt, chromium, iron, mercury, rubidium, antimony, selenium and zinc contents in human bone affected by Ewing's sarcoma. Journal of Cancer and Tumor International 2015; 2(1): 21-31. https://doi.org/10.9734/JCTI/2015/17464
- [79] Gupta-Elera G, Garrett AR, Robison RA and O'Neill KL. The role of oxidative stress in prostate cancer. European Journal of Cancer Prevention 2012; 21: 155-62. https://doi.org/10.1097/CEJ.0b013e32834a8002
- [80] De Boeck M, Kirsch-Volders M and Lison D. Cobalt and antimony: genotoxicity and carcinogenicity. Mutation Research 2003; 533: 135-52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2003.07.012
- [81] Al-Ebraheem A, Farquharson MJ and Ryan E. The evaluation of biologically important trace metals in liver,

kidney and breast tissue. Journal of Applied Radiation and Isotopes 2009; 67: 470-4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apradiso.2008.06.018

- [82] Tashiro H, Kawamoto T, Okubo T and Koide O. Variation in the Distribution of Trace Elements in Hepatoma. Biological Trace Element Research 2003; 95: 49-63. https://doi.org/10.1385/BTER: 95: 1:49
- [83] Gumulec J, Masarik M, Adam V, Eckschlager T, Provaznik I and Kizek R Serum and tissue zinc in epithelial malignancies: a meta-analysis. PLoS One 2014; 9(6): e99790. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0099790
- [84] Zhong H, Tan M, Fu Y, Huang J and Tang Z. Determination of trace elements in tissue of human uterine cancer by instrumental neutron activation analysis. Biological Trace Element Research 1999; 71-72: 569-74. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02784245
- [85] Díez M, Arroyo M, Cerdàn FJ, Mu-oz M, Martin MA and Balibrea JL. Serum and tissue trace metal levels in lung cancer. Oncology 1989; 46: 230-4. <u>https://doi.org/10.1159/000226722</u>
- [86] Zaichick V, Sviridova T and Zaichick S. Zinc in human prostate gland: normal, hyperplastic and cancerous. International Urology Nephrology 1997; 29: 565-74. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02552202</u>
- [87] Zaichick S and Zaichick V. Method and portable facility for energy-dispersive X-ray fluorescent analysis of zinc content in needle-biopsy specimens of prostate. X-Ray Spectrometry 2010; 39: 83-9. https://doi.org/10.1002/xrs.1233
- [88] Zaichick V and Zaichick S. Trace element contents in adenocarcinoma of human prostate investigated by energy dispersive X-ray fluorescent analysis. Journal of

Received on 26-01-2018

Accepted on 02-03-2018

Published on 28-06-2018

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.12974/2313-0954.2018.05.3

© 2018 Zaichick and Zaichick; Licensee Savvy Science Publisher.

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/</u>) which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.

Adenocarcinoma 2016; 1(1): 1-7. https://doi.org/10.21767/2572-309X.100001

- [89] Yaman M. Comprehensive comparison of trace metal concentrations in cancerous and non-cancerous human tissues. Current Medicinal Chemistry 2006; 13: 2513-25. <u>https://doi.org/10.2174/092986706778201620</u>
- [90] Mulware SJ. Comparative Trace Elemental Analysis in Cancerous and Noncancerous Human Tissues Using PIXE. Journal of Biophysics 2013; 2013: 192026 https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/192026
- [91] Sun Z-G, Song G-M, Zhang M and Wang Z. Clinical study on zinc, copper and manganese levels in patients with esophageal squamous cell cancer. Trace Elements and Electrolytes 2011; 28: 116-20. <u>https://doi.org/10.5414/TEP28116</u>
- [92] Sunderman FW. Mechanism of metal carcinogenesis. Biological Trace Element Research 1979; 1: 63-86. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02783844</u>
- [93] Snow ET. Metal carcinogenesis: mechanistic implications. Pharmacology and Therapeutics 1992; 53: 31-65. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0163-7258(92)90043-Y</u>
- [94] Koedrith P, Kim H, Weon JI and Seo YR. Toxicogenomic approaches for understanding molecular mechanisms of heavy metal mutagenicity and carcinogenicity. The International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health 2013; 216: 587-98. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2013.02.010</u>
- [95] Salnikow K and Zhitkovich A. Genetic and epigenetic mechanisms in metal carcinogenesis and cocarcinogenesis: nickel, arsenic, and chromium. Chemical Research in Toxicology 2008; 21: 28-44. https://doi.org/10.1021/tx700198a